

Examiners' Report/ Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2012

International GCSE Modern Greek (4MG0) Paper 01

#### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>.

Our website subject pages hold useful resources, support material and live feeds from our subject advisors giving you access to a portal of information. If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

www.edexcel.com/contactus

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2012
Publications Code UG032701
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2012

#### **General Comments**

The majority of candidates who sat the June 2012 examination in Modern Greek performed very well and provided competent translations, both from English into Greek and vice versa. Question 3 provoked relevant and interesting accounts and there was evidence of good language awareness, appropriate application of structures and a broad range of vocabulary. There was also a noticeable improvement regarding rubric and wordage restrictions, as the vast majority of candidates adhered to the rubric. However, a small number of candidates did not adhere to the conventions of orderly and clear presentation and produced essays which were not legible. There were some essays that were lengthier than necessary and candidates are reminded to follow rubric instructions. Exceeding the prescribed word limit is a rubric infringement and content produced beyond the prescribed word count will not contribute to the overall marks. Candidates are also reminded to follow the rubric, choose only one essay question and write their response in Greek.

## **Question 1**

Many candidates gained good marks in this section. They produced competent translations, with few grammatical inaccuracies. Despite evidence of occasional errors, the translations usually read well and communicated the sense of the source text correctly. The challenges which confronted a small number of candidates were mainly restricted to a few words, amongst them some phrasal verbs (calories, consume, put on weight, comes to, end up) and did not affect the communicative efficiency of the translations seriously.

Some linguistic structures which candidates had difficulty with were those that related to the translation of the imperatives which were sometimes inconsistently translated within the same scripts, with a second person singular and a second person plural:  $\varphi \dot{a} \varepsilon$ ,  $\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tau \varepsilon$ .

The phrase 'still get into our clothes' was often inaccurately rendered as «να μας φοράνε τα ρούχα μας» instead of the correct (among others) 'να μας μπαίνουν τα ρούχα μας/να μας χωράνε τα ρούχα μας'.

## **Questions 2a and 2b**

Many answers showed evidence of fluency and satisfactory awareness of grammar and syntax. Many responses to questions 2(a) and 2 (b) showed fluent command of vocabulary and idiom, good language awareness and consistently good application of the grammatical system.

With regard to syntax, vocabulary and structures, the following items posed candidates with a few issues:

 A number of candidates were challenged by words such as 'ιστοσελίδα', 'μαζεὐονται', 'σημειώσεις', 'έρευνα' and 'εφηβεία', in 2(a). A few candidates managed to convey communication efficiently by using periphrasis. However, the following words caused some

- difficulties: `webpage', `gather' `notes', `research' and `adolescence'. Moreover, the phrase `που ενδιαφέρουν ὁλους μας' was sometimes rendered as `who are interested in all of us'.
- Question 2(b) did not seem to contain such lexical challenges and where inability to produce an accurate translation occurred, it frequently related to the use of prepositions in the opening sentence: 'Lying on my towel on the sand, book in hand'. Syntactically, there were lapses when literal translations of 'Eivai που την ησυχία μου την ταράζει συνεχώς ο ήχος ενός κινητού που χτυπάει' did not take into account that English syntax does not allow for objects to appear before subjects and produced 'my peace disturbs the sound of a mobile' instead of 'my peace is disturbed/ or /the sound of a mobile disturbs'.

Certain prepositions were often used incorrectly: 'για' was translated as **for** instead of **about** in the sentence 'μιλάνε δυνατά **για** την προσωπική τους ζωή, **για** τα μυστικά τους'.

Frequent misspellings occurred in relation to the following:

- Blog (block)
- Lying (lieing)
- Towel (touel)
- Beach (bitch)
- Hobbys.

#### Question 3

Candidates used a wide range of vocabulary and employed complex structures and idiom in order to respond to the question. There seemed to be a preference for the topics of Friendship and Best Present, as well as for the creative topic about a surprise (3e). A small number of candidates were not able to justify their answer in 3(a) and wrote personal accounts of betrayal by a friend that did not address the question in an entirely purposeful and appropriate manner. Other cases where the question was sometimes misunderstood related to 3(e). Many candidates wrote about social issues (drugs, divorce) instead of environmental threats. Such essays failed to score many marks.

Responses to 3(c) and 3(d) and 3(e) were generally successful. However, candidates did lose a few marks when using pre-learned introductions not entirely relevant to the topic, especially when it came to 3(d) or 3(e). It must be noted that there was a noticeable pattern of candidates sticking to the prescribed word limit; this was very welcome.

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code UG032701 Summer 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit  $\underline{www.edexcel.com/quals}$ 

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





